	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/469,709	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George A. Goudreau	1763
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>under final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>George A. Goudreau</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Attorney Brian Hrna</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 December 2003</u>	Time: <u>12 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: potential 103 rejections		
Claims discussed: claims of record		
Prior art documents discussed: Farkas et. al. (6,274,478; 6,444,569)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	GE(PHI	HOUD THEAT MARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the attorney for applicant to request that they amend their pending claims as detailed in the attached examiner's amendment in order to avoid a potential 103 rejection over the Farkas et. al. references which the attorney agreed to do in order to place the application in a condition for allowance.

GEOBGEGOUDREAU PRIMARY EXAMINER